

FOR FUTURE

Project Result 2 – Assessment Tool
30/04/2023



Developing relevant STEM and digital skills is vital for Europe to fully embrace the benefits of the unprecedented social and technological revolution we are currently witnessing on a global scale and remain competitive in the global market. The digital age has revolutionized and transformed the dynamics of our everyday life and caused radical changes in most industries and productive sectors. New technological innovations, changes in production modes, digitalization, and globalization have laid the foundations for the emergence of the Fourth Industrial Revolution, a concept coined by Klaus Schwab, the founder of the World Economic Forum.

The current scenario moves past outdated industrial and post-industrial logics to focus on the need to train new generations in the scientific and technical knowledge that is the basis of many of today's careers and, especially, tomorrow's. Thus, **STEM** (an acronym for Science, Technology, Engineering, and Mathematics) career profiles are the most sought after today and are intended to meet the needs of future society. The European Commission, indeed, figures show that two-fifths of the EU workforce have little or no digital skills and by 2030 there will be 50 million open vacancies worldwide for positions requiring STEM skills. Furthermore, a study by the Organization for Economic Cooperation and Development (OECD) predicts that many of the jobs in highest demand today will disappear by 2030 and be replaced by jobs directly or indirectly related to the STEM fields (a very likely prediction if we consider that in 2013 there were 1.2 million STEM jobs to be filled and demand for STEM professionals today has more than doubled).

The 2020 report of the European Commission on the DESI index (Digital Economy and Society Index) highlights how many European countries are still quite behind in terms of the global level of digitization. A particularly significant element concerns the component of the DESI index related to the human capital, i.e. digital skills including not only basic skills owned by the population but also the percentage of young people trained or graduated in the ICT (Information and Communications Technology) field. As far as human capital is concerned, 3 of the 4 countries involved in this project are well below the European average, with Italy in the last place among the 28 EU countries, and Portugal in 21-th position. According to the Commission, possible solutions to improve the current situation include initiatives to enable young people to acquire the required skills and competences, while also developing non-formal methods for their teaching, such as STEM camps and extracurricular activities.

Recent statistics about European and worldwide conditions indicate that women's presence in STEM and ICT professions and related university programs has not significantly improved in the last decade, and women still are severely under-represented in these fields (Eurostat Statistics, 2017-2019). Both literature and real data show that gender differences with respect to interests, sense of belonging, self-confidence and engagement towards STEM and computer science are already in place at an early age (Spieler et al., 2020). Reaching a complete understanding of the reasons for this gender gap is a complex task, but (at least some of) the main motivations appear to be related to social and cultural related issues, such as gender stereotypes in the computer science





field (Master, 2021). Stereotypes, in general, influence people and produce misrepresentations: computer science is typically associated with the masculine role, but stereotype misconceptions may also regard physical appearance, personality type and digital ability projected onto young females, negatively influencing their academic decisions and career choices (Berg, 2018). The European Commission suggests that the gender gap should be addressed by a set of policies that include breaking gender stereotypes by means of awareness-raising campaigns and concrete actions (European Commission, 2018), such as earlier interventions in students' life, including extracurricular activities and training (Davaki, 2018).

The STEM for future projects aims at developing among young people an increased knowledge and culture about new professional opportunities enabled by technology, through a set of learning opportunities targeted at enhancing their STEM skills, by non-traditional learning methods such as STEM camps. Specifically, project objectives are: a) to develop and test a method for empowering STEM skills of young people next to complete upper secondary school, and for guiding them towards their subsequent study or career choices; b) to develop an assessment methodology allowing the recognition of knowledge and skills acquired through the above-mentioned activities and the measurement of impact on participants; c) to promote the exchange of practices and results, by devising guidelines for transferring and replicating proposed activities in other EU countries.

It is worth to note that in the last years, many public and private institutions have undertaken initiatives and actions with the goal of attracting girls towards computer science through activities such as summer camps and dedicated laboratories where the participants are exposed to hands-on experiences about coding and or doing projects. However, these activities typically lack a proper assessment regarding the resulting impacts and effects on the participants. Indeed, the main focus of such programmes is usually more on the implementation of computer science activities and team-based projects rather than on a comprehensive and specifically designed evaluation of the obtained results.

The STEM for future projects specifically aims to develop an assessment tool to evaluate the impact of the activities carried out during the STEM camps on the participants and on their future choices in terms of studies and careers. This document presents a model for the design and implementation of an assessment tool for STEM camps including some technical tips to submit the tool to the participants. The document includes a background and state of the art analysis that helped us to support the main design choices for the developed tool. Furthermore, a section is dedicated to define the objectives of the assessment and to present the main structure of the tool with the description of its components. The final Appendix reports the assessment tool actually used during the project for the evaluation of the national STEM camps. This document will be updated in its final version after the international STEM camp and the revision of the camo format and assessment tool.





The following points are intended to guide trainers and practitioners willing to develop STEM camps for high schools students towards the design and implementation of a proper assessment tool to measure the real impact of the developed activities and to eventually refine the actions taken.

INDEX

BACKGROUND AND STATE OF THE ART ANALYSIS	4
OBJECTIVE OF ASSESSMENT	6
ASSESSMENT TOOL STRUCTURE	7
TECHNICAL TIPS FOR IMPLEMENTATION	10
BIBLIOGRAPHY	10
APPENDIX 1	14

BACKGROUND AND STATE OF THE ART ANALYSIS

In this section we summarize the activity of background and state of the art analysis, whose results were exploited to provide useful inputs to the assessment tool design phase.

Many STEM summer camps for high school students have been carried out in the last decade (Faenza et al., 2021). Still, these initiatives are usually not supported by proper evaluation tools allowing researchers and practitioners to investigate the eventual benefit of the camp initiatives on participants' competencies and future choices. The main aim of eventual surveys submitted after summer camps or extracurricular activities is usually limited to the evaluation of the participants' satisfaction.

In some cases, the used survey structure and methodology are provided as an appendix. An example is the case of (Danoff M., 2017), which provides the methodology used to assess gender barriers towards Computer Science at Harvard. However, the study focuses on college students and the survey is tailored to the Harvard faculty context. In other cases, the study's main aim is to give suggestions and guidelines for STEM summer camps without providing adequate information about the assessment phase (Davis et al., 2013; Mohr- Schroeder et al., 2014). On the other hand, a structured, specifically designed survey solution is necessary to investigate other meaningful aspects and the real impacts of the camps.

Some studies specifically focus on the analysis of gender gaps in STEM and on the identification of the main factors that impact on girls' choices in terms of future studies and careers. The survey in (Spieler et al., 2020) included analyses 28 peer-reviewed articles on that topic highlighting in particular how different factors can impact on the decision to choose a degree course in STEM. They also investigate the self-perception



of participants about their capabilities in ICT and the similarities and dissimilarities between their identity and the perceived identity of a ICT expert (Lewis, Anderson & Yasuhara, 2011). Moreover, correlations between video games playing and attitude toward ICT are also studied: (Davies et al., 2014) shows a correlation between students who do not play video games and students who describe their computer skills as poor or do not spend much time on technological devices. Finally, teachers' and parents' influence on participants' aspirations are analyzed in (Wong & Kemp, 2018).

An in-depth study investigates the social and cultural stereotypes in ICT, negative impressions experienced during ICT classes and influences that may prevent participants interest in this field (Spieler et al., 2020). The study revisioned 28 peer-reviewed articles on the themes and was very helpful in orienting our choices about the survey design. As a result, we decided to include questions about family support and the ability to identify themselves with ICT experts.

We also include an Implicit Association Test (IAT). The IAT test has recently been widely used to assess mental associations (e.g., associations with race, gender, and more) and to predict judgment and behavior (Greenwald et al., 2009). In particular, it is considered a valid instrument to measure the implicit association of STEM-related and non-STEM-related words with female and male names (Farrell et al., 2017; Smeding et al., 2012). The main principle behind the IAT test is that self-exploration is subject to introspective limits. The test measures the differential association of 2 target concepts with an attribute: the two concepts appear in a 2-choice task (e.g., flower vs insect names) and the attributes in a 2nd task (e.g., pleasant vs unpleasant words for an evaluation attribute). When instructions oblige highly associated categories (e.g., flower + pleasant) to share a response key, performance is faster than when less associated categories (e.g., insect + pleasant) share a key. This performance difference implicitly measures the different association of the two concepts with the attribute (Greenwald et al., 1998). As stated by the Harvard online platform Project Implicit (<https://implicit.harvard.edu>), the IAT measures the strength of associations between concepts (e.g., black people, gay people) and evaluations (e.g., good, bad) or stereotypes (e.g., athletic, clumsy). The participant is asked to categorize terms displayed at the screen's centre as quickly as possible. On the left and right of the screen, two or more categories in opposition to each other are shown (Figure 1). The main idea is that categorizing a term is more straightforward when closely related categories are displayed on the same side of the screen.




Figure 1: Screen of an IAT test being employed.

Including the IAT test led to the less obvious matter of choosing an instrument to operate it online. The LimeSurvey tool used in the previous years did not allow the inclusion or the development of an IAT test. Hence, our choice was to migrate to a more complex platform, Qualtrics. The availability of an open repository, IATGEN (Carpenter et al., 2019), to develop an IAT test integrable inside Qualtrics' surveys led to this choice. IATGEN is an open-source tool written in R that can generate an IAT test as a survey element composed of HTML and Javascript; it also offers a suite of data analysis tools for processing the resulting data.

From the analysis of the state of the art and of the existing assessment tools, we can conclude that there are no instruments which can be directly reused or easily adapted to STEM extracurricular activities. Our project aims to fill this gap by proposing a complete evaluation tool and describing the methodology followed to design it.

OBJECTIVES OF ASSESSMENT

Evaluation and assessment are fundamental elements for measuring effectiveness and for ensuring continuous improvement of activities. The evaluation includes several points:

- Evaluation of changes in STEM perception and self-efficacy: crucial to measuring effectiveness of the carried out activities, an assessment phase should be put in place to evaluate changes in STEM perception, that is usually confused and misleading among young high school students. It is important that STEM camp participants acquire a correct understanding of the real meaning and importance of STEM education in order to make more aware decisions for their future. Furthermore, it is important to evaluate changes in the perceived self-efficacy about STEM disciplines, which often is one of the main reasons that keeps young students, especially girls, away from a future choice in these fields. For these reasons, it is important to administer a questionnaire both at the start and at the

end of the activity, so as to effectively measure the changes in STEM perception and self-efficacy

- Evaluation of satisfaction, which must be based on clear and specific criteria. The tools used must allow students and teachers to express their opinion on the STEM programme, on the organization and quality of the activities, and to provide useful feedback to improve the programme.
- Evaluation of impact: at the end of the activity it is recommended to evaluate the impact on the orientation of the participants in the choice of the post-graduate training/work path: the use of a further questionnaire is therefore envisaged which will be submitted to the participants 6 months after participation in the camp, to investigate their orientation towards professional and/or training choices, as well as their changes and motivations.

ASSESSMENT TOOL STRUCTURE

In this section, we describe the general structure of the proposed assessment tool, specifically designed to evaluate the impact of extracurricular STEM camps on the participants. The evaluation tool comprises an *online survey*, which is submitted before and after the camp activities and includes two main parts: *an initial IAT test* and the *main questionnaire*. The questionnaire includes questions that can be categorized into five main categories: background information, computer science perception, future choices, gender stereotypes and camp satisfaction. The evaluation tool also includes a *questionnaire to be submitted six months after* the activities to evaluate the long term impact on the orientation choices of the participants. Finally, a last part of the evaluation tool is dedicated to STEM camp teachers, to capture their perceptions and points of view: this part includes *semi-structured interviews* and a *satisfaction questionnaire*.

IAT TEST

As previously stated, we decided to include an IAT test in our surveys. The preparation stage of an IAT test requires selecting two categories of opposing concepts; then, words strongly related to each concept must be selected. In our case, we selected as target categories the couples "Science"- "Arts" and "Male"- "Female". The idea was to test if there is a positive correlation between them, hence less time for categorizing words when the "Male" and the "Science" categories are on the same side of the screen. The objective was to employ the test before and after the camp to understand if camp activities could lead to a change in implicit associations. More in detail, the chosen categories with stimuli were:

Science: Maths, Physics, Chemistry, Statistics, Computer Science, Engineering, Mechanics, Electronics

Arts: Art, History, Philosophy, Literature, Music, Theater, Language

Male: Male, Man, He, Husband, Father, Uncle, Grandfather

Female: Female, Woman, She, Wife, Mother, Aunt, Grandmother

An essential part of a successful IAT test is to use the mother language of the participants. In fact, for the use in the StemForFuture Erasmus+ project, a phase of translation in the mother tongue of each country participating in the initiative was undertaken.

Since each IAT test requires more or less 10 minutes to be completed, it was not possible to test more implicit associations, for example, an association between male/female and career/family; hence we chose to investigate other aspects using multiple-choice questions and free text answers.

MAIN QUESTIONNAIRE

The main questionnaire consisted of two parts, one submitted at the beginning (*before camp questionnaire*) and one at the end (*after camp questionnaire*) of the camp activities. Some questions were repeated both before and after the camp in order to grasp the eventual change in the knowledge, perceptions and attitudes of participants after the experience. A list of question categories is presented below in order to better understand the proposed survey structure.

Personal background

The first category of questions is related to participants' background; since obviously there is no need to ask again at the end of the camp for the same, these pieces of information can also be collected at the moment of participant enrolment, provided that anonymity must be guaranteed. Questions of this category regard general information such as nationality, attending school address, place of birth and age but also more specific information:

- parents' employment;
- if and how parents are involved in or passionate with STEM or Computer Science;
- if the participant regularly plays video games and which one in particular;
- questions about their previous experience with STEM disciplines or coding.

Computer Science Perception

As a consequence of what we learned from the literature review, we decided to include questions in order to understand participants' beliefs about ICT professionals before and after the camp. In particular, we asked them to choose a few adjectives to describe a ICT professional and then a few adjectives to describe themselves, with the aim of comparing the result before and after the camp and analyzing how those descriptions evolve. Also, before the camp, we asked what their idea was about the "ICT world" and how much they knew about it, while after the camp, we asked if their perception of the same has changed and, if so, how.

Future choices

An essential section of our surveys is dedicated to participants' future choices. It is repeated before and after the camp initiative to understand better if the camp impacted participants' ideas. We ask participants whether they are willing to continue studying or



to look for a job, and which will be their field of study or occupation. Then, in the final survey, we ask if their idea has changed and why; in the initial survey, we ask them if they talked about the possible choices with parents or teachers and, eventually, what their opinion is.

Gender stereotypes

An entire section is dedicated to gender stereotypes; here, the aim is to understand if the participant believes or not if being a woman or having a family could pose an obstacle for the future choice or for having a career in STEM and ICT rather than in non-ICT fields. Also, these questions were submitted before and after the camp to understand if a major awareness about gender stereotypes in STEM study and work fields has arisen as a consequence of the participation to the camp activities.

Participant Satisfaction

A final section submitted only at the after-camp survey analyses camp satisfaction in terms of general satisfaction and specific likings of single aspects of the camp, like activities employed, teamwork, teachers and more. Furthermore, we ask participants to highlight the best and worst part of the experience and to suggest possible improvements.

QUESTIONNAIRE AFTER SIX MONTHS

The questionnaire that is submitted to the participants around six months after the actual participation in the STEM camp activities essentially focus on their intention about future choices in terms of studies and careers. We ask participants whether they are willing to continue studying or to look for a job, and which will be their field of study or occupation. Then, we ask them again if their idea has changed and why in consequence of the STEM camp participation. This questionnaire aims at capturing medium-long term impacts of the participants' future orientation. Furthermore, by comparing the results with those of the after camp questionnaire, we evaluate if short term impacts may differ from medium-long term ones.

SEMI STRUCTURED INTERVIEWS FOR STEM CAMP TEACHERS

Semi-structured interviews were designed with the main aim to capture trainers and teachers perceptions and point of view about the format camp and the applied tools, including the assessment itself. The interviews were carried out after the end of the national STEM camps during the period October-November 2022, through online calls. At least two trainers for each country involved in the project consortium. It is worth to note that the format of a semi-structured interview has been chosen with respect to a simple questionnaire to allow the interviewers to go deeper into different details depending on the specific camp activities and on the results emerged from the IAT and main questionnaire. The semi-structured interviews includes questions mainly focused on:





- Opinions on the process of submission of the assessment tool (strong and weak points, suggestions for change)
- Opinions on the methodology applied during the STEM camp (camp structure, activity planning, hard and soft skills foreseen)
- Opinions on the activities actually carried out during the camp and their impact on the participants

SATISFACTION QUESTIONNAIRE FOR TEACHERS

A final questionnaire to measure the satisfaction of the teachers and trainers of the STEM camps was designed and submitted after the end of the activities. This specific questionnaire is aimed at understanding the satisfaction of the teachers in the participation in the camp and about their own contributions to the activities.

TECHNICAL TIPS FOR IMPLEMENTATION

Concerning technical tips, we introduced the possibility of tracing the response evolution. Since our surveys were submitted before and after the camp, some questions were repeated to trace eventual changes in the participants' perception. To this aim, we connect answers given by the same participant before and after the camp. Moreover, we wanted to connect some background data collected at the moment of the camp enrollment. The solution was to assign each participant a code to use during the questionnaire submission and then completely anonymise answers during the analysis phase. This allowed us, after the camp, to join answers from the same person and remove the code for anonymization.

Another technical tip is about the adoption of a structured survey system. In the early years of the initiative, tools like Google Forms were mainly employed; however, the solution needed more advanced features like, for example, branching and skip logic for questions, templates, invitations and reminders by email and session-based survey. These needs have led in recent years to the adoption of LimeSurvey, an open-source online survey tool that can also be installed on-premises.

Finally, including the IAT test in the questionnaire may result in technical difficulties. To address this, we opted to utilize the Qualtrics platform, which allows the integration of survey elements composed of HTML and JavaScript. For generating the appropriate code to be included in the survey, we used IATGEN, an open-source tool that generates IAT tests to be included in Qualtrics.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

Berg, T. and Sharpe, A. and Aitkin, E. (2018) Females in computing: Understanding stereotypes through collaborative picturing, *Computers and Education*, Volume 126, Pages 105-114. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compedu.2018.07.007>





Danoff, M. (2017). Gender and Computer Science at Harvard (Doctoral dissertation). <http://nrs.harvard.edu/urn-3:HUL.InstRepos:38811504>

Davaki, K. (2018) The underlying causes of the digital gender gap and possible solutions for enhanced digital inclusion of women and girls, European Parliament, Policy Dept. For Citizen's right and constitutional affairs, [http://www.europarl.europa.eu/RegData/etudes/STUD/2018/604940/IPOL_STU\(2018\)604940_EN.pdf](http://www.europarl.europa.eu/RegData/etudes/STUD/2018/604940/IPOL_STU(2018)604940_EN.pdf)

Davis, K. E. B., & Hardin, S. E. (2013). Making STEM fun: How to organize a STEM camp. *Teaching Exceptional Children*, 45(4), 60-67. <https://doi.org/10.1177/00400599130450040>

Davies, J. J., & Hemingway, T. J. (2014). Guitar hero or zero? Fantasy, self-esteem, and deficient self-regulation in rhythm-based music video games. *Journal of Media Psychology: Theories, Methods, and Applications*, 26(4), 189. <https://doi.org/10.1027/1864-1105/a000125>

European Commission (2018) Women in the digital age, European Commission, DG Communications Networks, Content & Technology

<https://op.europa.eu/en/publication-detail/-/publication/84bd6dea-2351-11e8-ac73-01aa75ed71a1>

European Eurostat Statistics (2017). Girls and women under-represented in ICT

<https://ec.europa.eu/eurostat/de/web/products-eurostat-news/-/EDN-20170426-1>

European Statistics Eurostat (2019). ICT specialists are predominantly male

<https://ec.europa.eu/eurostat/de/web/products-eurostat-news/-/DDN-20190513-1>

Faenza, F. and Canali, C. and Colajanni, M. and Carbonaro, A. (2021) "The digital girls response to pandemic: Impacts of in presence and online extracurricular activities on girls future academic choices", *Education Science Journal*, Vol. 11. <https://doi.org/10.3390/educsci11110715>

Faenza, F. and Canali, C. and Carbonaro, A. (2021b) "ICT Extra-curricular Activities: The "Digital Girls" Case Study for the Development of Human Capital", *Research and Innovation Forum, Rii Forum, Online Event*. 10.1007/978-3-030-84311-3_18

Farrell, L., & McHugh, L. (2017). Examining gender-STEM bias among STEM and non-STEM students using the Implicit Relational Assessment Procedure (IRAP). *Journal of Contextual Behavioral Science*, 6(1), 80-90. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcbs.2017.02.001>





Greenwald, A. G., McGhee, D. E., & Schwartz, J. L. K. (1998). Measuring individual differences in implicit cognition: The implicit association test. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 74(6), 1464–1480. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0022-3514.74.6.1464>

Lewis, C. M., Yasuhara, K., & Anderson, R. E. (2011, August). Deciding to major in computer science: a grounded theory of students' self-assessment of ability. In *Proceedings of the seventh international workshop on Computing education research* (pp. 3-10). <https://doi.org/10.1145/2016911.2016915>

Master, A. (2021), Gender Stereotypes Influence Children's STEM Motivation. *Child Dev Perspect*, 15: 203-210. <https://doi.org/10.1111/cdep.12424>

Mohr- Schroeder, M. J., Jackson, C., Miller, M., Walcott, B., Little, D. L., Speler, L., ... & Schroeder, D. C. (2014). Developing Middle School Students' Interests in STEM via Summer Learning Experiences: See B lue STEM C amp. *School Science and Mathematics*, 114(6), 291-301. <https://doi.org/10.1111/ssm.12079>

Schnabel, K., Asendorpf, J. B., & Greenwald, A. G. (2008). Assessment of individual differences in implicit cognition: A review of IAT measures. *European Journal of Psychological Assessment*, 24(4), 210–217. <https://doi.org/10.1027/1015-5759.24.4.210>

Smeding, A. (2012). Women in science, technology, engineering, and mathematics (STEM): An investigation of their implicit gender stereotypes and stereotypes' connectedness to math performance. *Sex roles*, 67(11), 617-629. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11199-012-0209-4>

Spieler, B. and Oates-Indruchova, L. and Slany, W. (2020) Female Students in Computer Science Education: Understanding Stereotypes, Negative Impacts, and Positive Motivation, *Journal of Women and Minorities in Science and Engineering*, Volume 26, Issue 5, 2020, pp. 473-510. <https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.1903.01190>

Heilman, M. E. (2001). Description and prescription: How gender stereotypes prevent women's ascent up the organisational ladder. *Journal of Social Issues*, 57(4), 657–674. <https://doi.org/10.1111/0022-4537.00234>

Wong, B., & Kemp, P. E. (2018). Technical boys and creative girls: the career aspirations of digitally skilled youths. *Cambridge Journal of Education*, 48(3), 301-316. <https://doi.org/10.1080/0305764X.2017.1325443>



APPENDIX 1

This appendix presents the actual assessment tool that has been used to evaluate the national STEM camps. This part of the document has the main objective to serve as a practical example of the implementation of an assessment tool for STEM camps that teachers and practitioners willing to replicate a similar activity with their students can exploit as a source of inspiration.

The appendix is structured in subsections that report the different parts of the assessment tool

IAT TEST

The preparation stage of an IAT test involves selecting two opposing categories and choosing words related to each concept. For our test, we selected "Science"- "Arts" and "Male"- "Female" as target categories. The chosen categories and stimuli were as follows:

Science: Maths, Physics, Chemistry, Statistics, Computer Science, Engineering, Mechanics, Electronics

Arts: Art, History, Philosophy, Literature, Music, Theatre, Language

Male: Male, Man, He, Husband, Father, Uncle, Grandfather

Female: Female, Woman, She, Wife, Mother, Aunt, Grandmother

IATGEN, an open source tools were then used to generate the code to be included into the survey platform, Qualtrics.

Before integrating it into Qualtrics, a round of translation was conducted. Since the IATGEN tool generates tests only in English, and using the participant's mother tongue is crucial for the test's success, we translated the generated test into all the relevant languages.



BEFORE CAMP QUESTIONNAIRE

Question	Question type	Answers/choices	Question category
Student code (a code should be associated and given to each student to be included in before and after surveys)			
Does your father work?	multiple choice	yes no other	personal data
If yes, in which field?	multiple choice	Eurostat work field list	personal data
Describe your father work	open text		personal data
Does your mother work?	multiple choice	yes no other	personal data
If yes, in which field?	multiple choice	Eurostat work field list	personal data
Describe your mother work	open text		personal data
Do your parents have interests or hobbies related to the STEM (Science, Technology, Engineering, Math) fields?	open text		stem background
Have you ever played any video or computer games?	multiple choice	yes no other	stem background
(if played videogames) name and describe your favorite one	open text		stem background
(if played videogames) how many hours a day/week?	multiple choice	integer number	stem background
On a scale from 1 to 5, how much do you agree with the phrase: "I have a clear idea of what STEM education is"	scale	scale from 1 to 5	stem perception
What characteristics and adjectives would you use to describe an expert in the STEM field?	open text		stem perception
Which characteristic or adjectives have you in common with those you choose for an expert in the STEM field?	open text		stem perception



What would you like to do at the end of your current education path?	multiple choice	look for a job keep studying other	future interest
(if keep studying) How would you like to continue your education path?	multiple choice	university superior technical institute other	future interest
(if keep studying) Which discipline are you most interested to?	multiple choice	Eurostat study field list	future interest
(if keep studying) Specify other possible options	multiple choice	Eurostat study field list	future interest
(if not keep studying) On a scale from 1 to 5, how much do you agree with the phrase: "I would like to look for a job in STEM field"	scale	scale from 1 to 5	future interest
(if not keep studying) On a scale from 1 to 5, how much do you agree with the phrase: "My current teachers agree with my after school choice"	scale	scale from 1 to 5	future interest
(if keep studying) On a scale from 1 to 5, how much do you agree with the phrase: "My current teachers agree with my field of study choice"	scale	scale from 1 to 5	future interest
Please explain why your current teachers agree/disagree with your choice	open text		future interest
(if not keep studying) On a scale from 1 to 5, how much do you agree with the phrase: "My parents agree with my after school choice"	scale	scale from 1 to 5	future interest
(if keep studying) On a scale from 1 to 5, how much do you agree with the phrase: "My parents agree with my field of study choice"	scale	scale from 1 to 5	future interest
Please explain why your parents agree/disagree with your choice	open text		future interest
What would you envisage as potential obstacles for you to approach a STEM career field?	open text		future interest
Do you believe that being a woman could pose an obstacle to the education path you choose?	scale	scale from 1 to 5	gender stereotype
Do you believe that being a woman could pose an obstacle to job searching in a STEM field?	scale	scale from 1 to 5	gender stereotype



Do you believe that being a woman could pose an obstacle to job searching in a non-STEM field?	scale	scale from 1 to 5	gender stereotype
Do you believe that having a family and children could pose an obstacle to a career in your chosen field?	scale	scale from 1 to 5	gender stereotype
Do you believe that having a family and children could pose an obstacle to a career in a STEM field?	scale	scale from 1 to 5	gender stereotype
Do you believe that having a family and children could pose an obstacle to a career in a non-STEM field?	scale	scale from 1 to 5	gender stereotype
Describe what do you expect to find, know or learn from this experience	open text		expectations

AFTER CAMP QUESTIONNAIRE

Question	Question type	Answers/choices	Question category
Student code (a code should be associated and given to each student to be included in before and after surveys)			
On a scale from 1 to 5, how much do you agree with the phrase: "Now I better understand what STEM education is"	scale	scale from 1 to 5	stem perception
On a scale from 1 to 5, how much do you agree with the phrase: "I changed my mind about STEM after the camp"	scale	scale from 1 to 5	stem perception
If you changed your mind about STEM after the camp, please explain how	open text		stem perception
On a scale from 1 to 5, how much do you agree with the phrase: "I learned new things about STEM"	scale	scale from 1 to 5	stem perception
What characteristics and adjectives would you use to describe an expert in the STEM field?	open text		stem perception
Which characteristic or adjectives have you in common with those you choose for an expert in the STEM field?	open text		stem perception



What would you like to do at the end of your current education path?	multiple choice	look for a job keep studying other	future choice
(if keep studying) How would you like to continue your education path?	multiple choice	university superior technical institute other	future choice
(if keep studying) Which discipline are you most interested to?	multiple choice	Eurostat study field list	future choice
(if keep studying) Specify other possible options	multiple choice	Eurostat study field list	future choice
(if not keep studying) On a scale from 1 to 5, how much do you agree with the phrase: "I would like to look for a job in STEM field"	scale	scale from 1 to 5	future interest
On a scale from 1 to 5, how much do you agree with the phrase: "Attending STEM for future camp made me reconsider what I would like to do after school"	scale	scale from 1 to 5	future choice
If attending STEM for future camp made me reconsider what I would do after school, please explain how	open text		future choice
On a scale from 1 to 5, how important do you think the following aspects were during the camp experience: express my creativity	scale	scale from 1 to 5	experience evaluation
On a scale from 1 to 5, how important do you think the following aspects were during the camp experience: I've done it myself	scale	scale from 1 to 5	experience evaluation
On a scale from 1 to 5, how important do you think the following aspects were during the camp experience: enjoy myself	scale	scale from 1 to 5	experience evaluation
On a scale from 1 to 5, how important do you think the following aspects were during the camp experience: team work	scale	scale from 1 to 5	experience evaluation
If you were the "STEM for future" camp organizer what would you change? And why?	open text		experience evaluation
On a scale from 1 to 5, how much did you like the "STEM for future" camp experience?	scale	scale from 1 to 5	experience evaluation



What did you like the most?	open text		experience evaluation
What did you like the least?	open text		experience evaluation
What obstacles, if any, did you find during the experience?	open text		experience evaluation
What did you learned from this experience?	open text		experience evaluation
On a scale from 1 to 5, how satisfied are you with the project carried out during the camp?	scale	scale from 1 to 5	experience evaluation
What did you like the most about the camp project?	open text		experience evaluation
What did you like the least about the camp project?	open text		experience evaluation
Which abilities did you developed during the camp experience?	Multiple choice		experience evaluation
On a scale from 1 to 5, how much did you feel part of the groups?	scale	scale from 1 to 5	experience evaluation

QUESTIONNAIRE AFTER SIX MONTHS

Question	Question type	Answers/choices
What do you plan to do in your next future?	multiple choice	look for a job keep studying other
(if keep studying) How do you plan to continue your studies?	multiple choice	university superior technical institute other
(if keep studying) Which discipline are you most interested to?	multiple choice	Eurostat study field list
(if keep studying) Specify other possible options	multiple choice	Eurostat study field list
(if not keep studying) On a scale from 1 to 5, how much do you agree with the phrase: "I would like to look for a job in STEM field"	scale	scale from 1 to 5



On a scale from 1 to 5, how much do you agree with the phrase: "Attending the "STEM For Future" camp made me reconsider what I would like to do in my next future"	scale	scale from 1 to 5
Please explain if and how attending the "STEM for Future" camp made you reconsider what you plan to do in your next future	open text	

SEMI-STRUCTURED INTERVIEWS WITH TEACHERS

Questions:

1. Recall the moment of submission of the evaluation tool (questionnaire and IAT test) at the camp's start and end: what is your opinion on the tool and the submission process?
 - describe strengths and weaknesses
 - please explain what you would change
2. Think about the methodology applied during the STEM camp (es. camp structure, activity planning, hard and soft skills foreseen):
 - was it appropriate for the target group?
 - was it able to cover the spectrum of STEM disciplines?
 - describe strengths and weaknesses
 - please explain what you would change
3. Recall the activities actually carried out during the camp (how the activities were actually implemented):
 - did they meet the expectations?
 - describe instruments and tools utilized during the activities
 - describe unexpected issues and/or positive results
 - describe strengths and weaknesses
 - please explain what you would change



4. If you were to give a judgment on satisfaction and engagement from the point of view of the participants with respect to the activities, what would it be?

SATISFACTION QUESTIONNAIRE FOR TEACHERS

How much did you like teaching during the "STEM for future" camp? (Likert scale)

How much did you feel like part of the staff team? (Likert scale)

How satisfied are you with the project carried out during the camp? (Likert scale)

How much do you agree with the phrase "STEM-related activities were satisfactorily included in the camp"? (Likert scale)

How much do you agree with the phrase "soft skills related activities were satisfactorily included in the camp"? (Likert scale)

About the overall experience, what did you like the most? And what did you like the least? (Open questions)

If you were to organize the same "STEM for future" camp, what would you change? And why? (open questions)



FOR FUTURE



Co-funded by the
Erasmus+ Programme
of the European Union

The European Commission support for the production of this publication does not constitute an endorsement of the contents which reflects the views only of the authors, and the Commission cannot be held responsible for any use which may be made of the information contained therein. Project Number 2021-1-IT02-KA220-SCH-000034362